

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

I.J. JORDAN,

Plaintiff,  
Defendant.

Case No. 2:10-cv-00883-GMN-PAL

VS.

HATTIE JORDAN.

## **REPORT OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION**

(IFP App - Dkt. #1)

Plaintiff I.J. Jordan is proceeding in this action *pro se*, has requested authority pursuant to S.C. § 1915 to proceed *in forma pauperis*, and submitted a Complaint (Dkt. #1) on June 9, 2010. The proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule JB 1-9.

Plaintiff has submitted the affidavit required by § 1915(a), but it appears that Plaintiff does not qualify to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Plaintiff's Application represents that he has \$24,000.00 in a checking or savings account, that he owns his home for which he paid \$16,000.00 as well as two Porsche cars valued at \$12,000.00. Plaintiff lists only approximately \$400 in monthly expenses. Plaintiff's cash on hand well exceeds his expenses, and he does not qualify to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

111

111

111

111

111

111

111

1 Accordingly,

2 **IT IS RECOMMENDED** that Plaintiff's Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* be  
3 DENIED and Plaintiff's Complaint be DISMISSED unless Plaintiff pays the \$350.00 filing fee for this  
4 action on or before **August 23, 2010**.

5 Dated this 22nd day of July, 2010.

6   
7 PEGGY A. TEEN  
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 **NOTICE**

10 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned  
11 to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within ten days after being served  
12 with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court.  
13 Pursuant to Local Rule of Practice (LR) IB 3-2(a), any party wishing to object to the findings and  
14 recommendations of a magistrate judge shall file and serve *specific written objections* together with  
15 points and authorities in support of those objections, within ten (10) days of the date of service of the  
16 findings and recommendations. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's  
17 Findings and Recommendations." The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the  
18 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's Order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153  
19 (9th Cir. 1991). The points and authorities filed in support of the specific written objections are subject  
20 to the page limitations found in LR 7-4.